

# Reverse Rett: Research funding review process



# Reverse Rett: Research funding review process

## External Peer Review

Experts from around the world are asked to provide written comments on the research application. The number of written reviews needs to be proportionate to both the size and the materiality of the award. Where substantial funding is being applied for (in relation to the charity's research spend), AMRC members generally require at least two written reviews.

Proposals sent for peer-review to multiple subject matter experts in fields that are relevant to the proposal

## Internal Triage

The charity checks eligibility of the research applications to ensure they are within scope and fit with the organisation's Research Strategy.

Proposals received by Reverse Rett according to published submission criteria



Peer reviews collated and sent along with the original proposal to the RAB for independent review

## Research Advisory Board

Members of the Research Review Board aim to help Reverse Rett (RR) by making a rational, independent evaluation of the merits of proposals for Single Institute Funding designed to make progress in developing new clinical treatment options for Rett Syndrome patients. Their brief is to assess whether the proposed investment is likely to lead to significant developments and improvements in care for patients with Rett Syndrome and whether the research lies within the mission and objectives of Reverse Rett. In addition, they will be a key part of the regular monitoring and evaluation of the progress being made by the Institute.

RAB members review all written material off-line prior to meeting and build a list of outstanding questions

RAB engage in dialogue with the PI and team, addressing concerns and ambiguities associated with the proposal

RAB write impartial funding recommendations aligned to Charity objectives as to the expected merits or issues of the proposed work

RAB report received. If significant issues are found Reverse Rett can work with the PI to address and rectify followed by RAB review



## Reverse Rett Board of Trustees

The Trustees make the final decision on whether the charity should award funding to the research application.

Strong proposals that have peer review, RAB and RR team backing are presented to board of trustees for final investment decision

